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## Sampling Methodology - Survey Sampling International

- This project was carried out using accepted market research techniques. A representative sample of Los Angeles County was created so results could be projected. The samples for this study were created by Survey Sampling International.
- All surveys are subject to some margin of error - that is, the degree to which the results might differ from those obtained by a complete census of every person in the LA county area. For this survey, with a sample of 957 children, there is an average confidence interval of plus or minus 2.96 percentage points at the 95 percent confidence level. For example, if the survey results show $30 \%$ of the respondents, we can be confident 95 times out of 100 that the "real" value is between 27.04\% and 32.96\%


## About Survey Sampling International

- For this study we used Survey Sampling International (SSI), a world leader in sample creation and provision. They provide samples for over 2,500 clients each year.
- Proprietary Panel: SSI panels are composed of sources that are over 90\% proprietary, which means they're constantly protecting, growing, and optimizing them. They actively manage and look to improve the respondent experience to have more loyal and engaged panel members.
- High Quality: SSI sample has been cited for the highest level of consistency and quality in more markets around the world than anyone else, as shown in the Grand Mean ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Project, the world's largest independent assessment of online sampling.
- SSI's sampling processes have been successfully audited every year since 1998 by Ernst \& Young. The audits are performed as part of the annual Media Ratings Council audit of syndicated ratings produced by Arbitron Inc. and Scarborough Research.
- SSI adheres to ESOMAR standards
- Survey quality control methods include digital fingerprinting, identifying "speedsters," "straightliners," and reward claim authentication.


## Methodology (cont.)

- Quotas: 200 screened households per each of the five Los Angeles County Regions
- Interviews were completed only with households with children age 6-17
- Regions of LA County were set according to their Service Planning Area (SPA):

| Region | SPA |
| :--- | :--- |
| East | 3,7 |
| West | 5,8 (part) |
| Metro | 6,8 (part) |
| South | 1,2 |
| North |  |

- Original target screened household interviews:

1000

- Actual households screened: 1466
- Total households with kids 6-17: 600
- Total kids aged 6 to 17: 957
- Total Hispanic households with kids: 126
- Total Hispanic kids aged 6 to 17: 211
- Total household interviews in Spanish (with kids): 43 completes
- Throughout the analysis, a weighting technique was used in order for the data to better represent the Los Angeles County Population of 10,069,036 people (2014 estimate - Los Angeles County Department of Health).
- Projected participants for each sport were derived from Nielsen census estimates for 2016; visit http://www.nielsen.com/content/dam/nielsen/en_us/documents/pdf/Fact\ Sheets\ II/Nie Isen\%20Demographic\%20Data\%200verview.pdf for more information.
- National sport participation rate comparisons are sourced from the Physical Activity Council, the nation's definitive source for sports participation data. Visit http://www.physicalactivitycouncil.com/ for more information.


## Executive Summary

## PARTICIPATION

- Outdoor soccer has the highest participation rate among youth ages 6-17 in Los Angeles County, with $29 \%$ of children having played in the past 12 months. Swimming (27\%), basketball (26\%), baseball (23\%), and running (17\%) follow.
- Active LA county youth played on average 2.67 sports in the past year.
- With an average of 90 times per year, skateboarding has the highest "play frequency" of all sports among kids aged 6-17, followed by tackle football (60 times per year), cycling (59), basketball (55), and running (54).
- In aggregate, LA county youth played sports at an average of 114 times over the past year.
- $18.5 \%$ of LA county youth did not participate in a sport in the last year. Lack of interest was the most-cited reason. Younger children ages 6-8 and females were significantly more likely to be inactive. Households with inactive children were more likely to have adults with occasional or no physical activity. Additionally, households with parents receiving higher incomes were more likely to have played a sport, as well as kids who are enrolled in P.E. classes. White children were also more likely to have played a sport in the past year when comparing race/ethnicity breakouts.
- $65 \%$ of LA county youth would like to play a sport in the next year; soccer is the most desired sport to play in the next year followed by football, basketball, baseball, and tennis.


## P.E. ENROLLMENT

- $85 \%$ of LA county youth are enrolled in a P.E. / physical activity class; those aged 9-14 are more likely to be enrolled compared to younger (6-8 years) and older (15-17 years) children.
- When comparing P.E. enrollment by race/ethnicity, black and African-American children in LA county are more likely to be in a P.E. class (94\%), with white youth (82\%) are the least likely compared to other race/ethnic groups.
- For those enrolled in a P.E. or physical activity class, $69 \%$ have class more than once a week; comparisons by household region show those living in the North are more likely to have more than one P.E. class a week (80\%), while those residing in the Metro region are more likely to have only one P.E. class a week (56\%).


## SPECIAL NEEDS STATUS

- $10 \%$ of households in LA county report having a special needs child. Households in the South and metro regions have slightly higher levels of households with a special needs child compared to the other regions.
- Of households with special needs children, $31 \%$ state their child suffers from a physical disability, $42 \%$ intellectual/emotional disability, and $27 \%$ report a child with both a physical and intellectual/emotional disabilities.


## HOUSEHOLD ADULT PHYSICAL FITNESS STATUS

- $50 \%$ of households with children ages 6-17 in LA county have adults who regularly participate in physical activity/sports; $39.6 \%$ occasionally participate and $10.4 \%$ are inactive.
- Accounting for household adult physical fitness status by race/ethnicity, white households are more likely to be more active with $57 \%$ regularly taking part in sports or physical activity; this is compared to Hispanics (47\%), Black/African-American (39\%), and Asian (35\%). Those falling into the "other" race/ethnicity category have $53 \%$ of households with adults engaging in regularly physical activity.
- Households in the South region are more likely to hold adults who regularly participate at $60 \%$, a noticeable lead over Metro (56\%), West (53\%), East (45\%), and North (45\%).
- Households with adults either occasionally participating or not participating at all in physical activities were more likely to have children that did not play a sport in the past year.


## MEDIA

- YouTube is the social media platform most often used by LA county youth, with nearly half (48\%) using it regularly - followed by Facebook (37\%), Instagram (32\%), Snapchat (25\%) and Twitter (24\%).
- Among websites \& publications, ESPN has the highest percentage of regular usage at $29 \%$, with Fox Sports second-most at $20 \%$.


## Sports Participation Trends

## Participation Rate vs. Participation Frequency

Participation rate is a measure of the percentage of a certain group taking part in that activity over the last 12 months, while participation frequency is the average number of play occasions (times the activity was participated in) per year. For example, the participation rate of basketball in LA county among youths 6-17 years old is $26 \%$ while the average participation frequency is 55 ; this means $26 \%$ of all youth aged 6-17 played basketball at least once, and of these $26 \%$ of youth the average times basketball is played per year is 55 .


- Outdoor soccer is the most played sport among LA county youth $-29 \%$ of all children participated in the past 12 months.
- $18.5 \%$ of LA county youth did not play a sport in the past year.
- Most common sports specified when answering "Other" include:
- Gymnastics: 1.3\%
- Dance: 1.1\%
- Karate: 1.1\%
- Taekwondo: 0.5\%
- Golf: 0.4\%
- Skiing: 0.4\%

- Roughly 82\% of LA county youth played at least one sport in the past 12 months, with $89 \%$ of males and $73 \%$ of females considered active sport participants.

- Of LA county youth sport participants, $37 \%$ played two to three sports in the past year.

- LA county youth over the past year played an average of 2.67 sports.


## Participation Frequency (Days Per Year)



- Skateboarding is the sport with the highest average participation frequency per year in LA county among youth at 90 times every 12 months, significantly higher than any other sport.

- On average, LA county youth participated in sports 114 times over the last year. As age increases, average participation frequency rises as well.


## Future Sports Participation Interest




- $65 \%$ of LA county youth would like to play a sport in the next 12 months
- Soccer is overwhelmingly the most desired sport to play in the next year among LA county youth, followed by football, basketball, baseball, and tennis.


## Future Sport Participation Interest: Latent Demand Table

The following table was made by comparing active sport participants by the open-ended responses provided when asked "What sports would you like to play?" Responses were coded in categories of sports. For an example of reading this table, consider the first cell; this should be interpreted as $53 \%$ of outdoor soccer players who answered "yes" (to interest in sports participation next year) specified baseball as a desired sport.

Results should not be interpreted as a measure of latent demand as this question was not required to be answered by participants.

| Sports Interested in Playing in the Next Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Baseball | Basketball | Football | Soccer | Softball | Swimming | Tennis | Volleyball | Rugby | Cycling | Running |
| Soccer (outdoor) | 53\% | 34\% | 54\% | 45\% | 32\% | 31\% | 54\% | 44\% | 69\% | 50\% | 30\% |
| Basketball | 40\% | 69\% | 54\% | 25\% | 29\% | - $27 \%$ | 43\% | 50\% | 48\% | 50\% | 29\% |
| Swimming | 33\% | 29\% | 42\% | 26\% | 25\% | 49\% | 53\% | 33\% | 83\% | 50\% | 48\% |
| Baseball | 61\% | 43\% | 52\% | 28\% | 6\% | 29\% | 23\% | 12\% | 59\% | 50\% | 11\% |
| Running | 9\% | 17\% | 22\% | 20\% | 8\% | 26\% | 21\% | 44\% | 48\% | 33\% | 65\% |
| Tennis | 12\% | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% | 28\% | -15\% | 42\% | 9\% | 48\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Volleyball (court) | 4\% | 10\% | 4\% | 9\% | 6\% | -12\% | 19\% | 41\% | 31\% | - | 35\% |
| Skateboarding | 18\% | 20\% | 20\% | 9\% | 6\% | 10\% | 3\% | 7\% | 24\% | - | - |
| Track \& Field | 8\% | 6\% | 18\% | 12\% | 10\% | 9\% | 5\% | 7\% | 17\% | - | 31\% |
| Cycling | 11\% | 7\% | 8\% | 8\% | 12\% | 36\% | 7\% | 17\% | 42\% | 68\% | 6\% |
| Football (tackle) | 11\% | 5\% | 33\% | 2\% | - | 8\% | 5\% | 1\% | 24\% | 15\% | 11\% |
| Softball (slow pitch) | 10\% | 7\% | 9\% | 8\% | 16\% | 16\% | 6\% | 12\% | - | - | 12\% |
| Football (flag) | 19\% | 12\% | 22\% | 10\% | 4\% | 8\% | 4\% | - | 52\% | - | 12\% |
| Softball (fast pitch) | 2\% | 7\% | 4\% | 12\% | 36\% | 3\% | 8\% | 12\% | 17\% | - | - |
| Volleyball (sand/beach) | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 12\% | 3\% | 16\% | - | 31\% | 33\% | - |
| Soccer (indoor) | 3\% | 2\% | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% | 3\% | 6\% | 13\% | 10\% | - | 5\% |

## Inactivity* Among LA County Youth

(*Inactive = not participating in any of the listed sports or specified an "other" sport.)

## Reasons for Inactivity

For those not playing a sport, an open-ended question was asked: "Why didn't you participate in any sports in the past 12 months?" The responses were coded into several categories, shown below by the percentage of those not playing.


- For those not playing sports in the previous 12 months, a general lack of interest was most-often cited (39\%). A dislike of sports was the next-highest reason stated for non-participation (16\%).


## Inactives: Profile







- A clear correlation exists between households with a child not participating in sports in the last year and parent income.
- LA county youth with active parents are less likely to have not played sports, similarly as those taking P.E. classes.


## Sports Participation Rates \& Profiles

## Reading the Sport Profiles

Each sport is highlighted into two sections: participation rates/projections \& profiles. The profile section provides a breakout as a percentage of total participation within the sport. For instance, the baseball income profile shows households over $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$ at $40 \%$; this indicates that $40 \%$ of households with a baseball player between 6-17 years old make over \$100k. The indices in each profile compare participation rate (\% of a total group) with the LA county youth population to measure the relative likelihood of a sport participant being a member of that demographic.

## BASEBALL

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $\mathbf{6 \sim 8}^{2}$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1 1}$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{1 2 \sim 1 4}$ | Ages <br> $1 \mathbf{1 5}^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $23.2 \%$ | $37.5 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $18.5 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $23.8 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $13.7 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $17.3 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 44.5 | 48.8 | 28.2 | 27.1 | 57.1 | 45.9 | 44.6 |



# Where Baseball is Played Among LA 

 County YouthPrivate non-profit,


| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $15.4 \%$ | $26.6 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ | $39.5 \%$ | $23.2 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 61,463 | 82,130 | 119,392 | 34,507 | 55,876 | 352,144 |

[^0]
## Sport Profile: BASEBALL



Ethnicity


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 146 |
| Female | 46 |
| Ages 6~8 | 87 |
| Ages 9~11 | 123 |
| Ages 12~14 | 95 |
| Ages 15~17 | 95 |
| White | 105 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 116 |
| Black/African-American | 42 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 62 |
| <\$50K | 60 |
| \$50k ${ }^{\sim}$ 99k | 115 |
| \$100k+ | 126 |



Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 9 to 11
- Hispanic/Latino
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

BASKETBALL

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim 8}$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1}^{\sim} 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $26.5 \%$ | $37.0 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $30.2 \%$ | $31.6 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $18.9 \%$ | $25.3 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $20.9 \%$ | $23.7 \%$ | $18.0 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 54.7 | 47.9 | 71.1 | 52.0 | 38.1 | 53.5 | 75.1 |

Type of Basketball Played Among LA County Youth



| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $22.0 \%$ | $26.9 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $39.4 \%$ | $26.5 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 87,762 | 82,890 | 133,322 | 41,262 | 55,740 | 401,568 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: BASKETBALL



## Ethnicity



| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 131 |
| Female | 64 |
| Ages $6^{\sim}$ 8 | 68 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 111 |
| Ages $12^{\sim}$ 14 | 113 |
| Ages $15^{\sim} 17$ | 115 |
| White | 101 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 89 |
| Black/African-American | 136 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 105 |
| <\$50K | 86 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 109 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 104 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 15 to 17
- Black/African-American
- Parent income between $\$ 50$ to $\$ 99 \mathrm{k}$

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

SOFTBALL (SLOW-PITCH)

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $7.1 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $3.2 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $3.4 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 49.3 | 55.3 | 45.6 | 67.3 | 44.7 | 23.3 | 64.6 |

Type of Softball (Slow-Pitch) Played
Among LA County Youth


Where Softball (slow-pitch) is Played
Among LA County Youth
Private non-profit, 8\% Other, 1\%
Private/Commercial facility, $1 \%$
College/University, 7\%

Public facility (park, pool, field, court), 43\%

| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $6.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 23,935 | 18,251 | 37,410 | 14,390 | 13,020 | 107,497 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: SOFTBALL (SOFT-PITCH)



Ethnicity


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 72 |
| Female | 133 |
| Ages $6^{\sim}$ 8 | 103 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 124 |
| Ages 12~14 | 106 |
| Ages 15~17 | 65 |
| White | 105 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 107 |
| Black/African-American | 70 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 53 |
| <\$50K | 67 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 125 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 107 |



Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Female
- Ages 9 to 11
- Hispanic/Latino
- Parent income between $\$ 50$ to $\$ 99 \mathrm{k}$

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

SOFTBALL (FAST-PITCH)

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1}^{\sim} 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $6.8 \%$ | $5.6 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $1.9 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 41.9 | 16.0 | 59.2 | 27.0 | 32.8 | 41.0 | 63.6 |

Type of Softball (Fast-Pitch) Played Among LA County Youth


Where Softball (fast-pitch) is Played
Among LA County Youth
Private non-profit,


| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $7.1 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 28,367 | 23,574 | 26,664 | 8,957 | 14,376 | 102,554 |

[^1]
## Sport Profile: SOFTBALL (FAST-PITCH)



## Ethnicity

Asian/Pacific Islander, 1\% Other, 2\% Black/African-American,

11\%


| Profile | Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| Male | 74 |
| Female | 131 |
| Ages 6~8 | 78 |
| Ages 9~11 | 71 |
| Ages 12~14 | 160 |
| Ages 15~17 | 101 |
| White | 121 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 98 |
| Black/African-American | 91 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 12 |
| <\$50K | 63 |
| \$50k~99k | 102 |
| \$100k+ | 137 |



Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Female
- Ages 12 to 14
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

SOCCER (OUTDOOR)

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim 8}$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1}^{\sim} 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $28.8 \%$ | $36.2 \%$ | $21.6 \%$ | $24.5 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $32.3 \%$ | $26.1 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $14.7 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $19.0 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 52.0 | 47.9 | 58.8 | 39.6 | 40.0 | 62.4 | 73.8 |



| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $26.3 \%$ | $25.5 \%$ | $29.5 \%$ | $29.9 \%$ | $37.1 \%$ | $28.8 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 104,900 | 78,581 | 145,261 | 52,421 | 52,485 | 436,165 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: SOCCER (OUTDOOR)



## Ethnicity

Asian/Pacific Islander, 2\% Other, 2\% Black/African-American, 7\%

White, 32\%

Hispanic/Latino, 57\%


Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 9 to 11
- Hispanic/Latino
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group


## SOCCER (INDOOR)

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim} 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $4.6 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $4.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 18.3 | 18.4 | 17.8 | 20.7 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 12.8 |

Type of Soccer (indoor) Played Among LA
County Youth


Where Soccer (indoor) is Played Among


| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $2.9 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 11,524 | 14,956 | 11,541 | 9,691 | 20,750 | 69,193 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: SOCCER (INDOOR)



## Ethnicity

Asian/Pacific Islander, Black/African-American, Other, 1\%


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 140 |
| Female | 54 |
| Ages $6^{\sim}$ 8 | 116 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 106 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 107 |
| Ages $15^{\sim} 17$ | 68 |
| White | 157 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 91 |
| Black/African-American | 35 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0 |
| <\$50K | 59 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 112 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 130 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 6 to 8
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

FOOTBALL (TACKLE)

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim 8}$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $8.4 \%$ | $16.4 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $14.6 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $6.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 60.0 | 62.4 | 8.7 | 38.5 | 41.3 | 85.1 | 56.3 |

Type of Football (tackle) Played Among
LA County Youth
Other, 1\%



| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $7.7 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $8.3 \%$ | $14.3 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 30,731 | 37,516 | 23,481 | 14,537 | 20,208 | 127,266 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: FOOTBALL (TACKLE)



Ethnicity



Income


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 177 |
| Female | 10 |
| Ages $6^{\sim} 8$ | 59 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 55 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 139 |
| Ages $15^{\sim} 17$ | 162 |
| White | 124 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 78 |
| Black/African-American | 148 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 43 |
| <\$50K | 100 |
| $\$ 50 k^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 81 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 122 |

Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 15 to 17
- Black/African-American
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

FOOTBALL (FLAG)

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15 \sim 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $7.6 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $4.0 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 46.6 | 51.6 | 24.9 | 65.1 | 28.2 | 58.0 | 19.0 |

Type of Football (flag) Played Among LA
County Youth



| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $5.7 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $6.1 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 22,753 | 29,658 | 29,848 | 15,124 | 17,360 | 114,910 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: FOOTBALL (FLAG)



## Ethnicity

Asian/Pacific Islander, 2\%


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 152 |
| Female | 40 |
| Ages $6^{\sim} 8$ | 110 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 76 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 131 |
| Ages 15~17 | 85 |
| White | 120 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 94 |
| Black/African-American | 98 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 27 |
| <\$50K | 92 |
| $\$ 50 k^{\sim} 99 k$ | 92 |
| $\$ 100 k+$ | 116 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 12 to 14
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

VOLLEYBALL (COURT)

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim 8}$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1 1}$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $10.3 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $10.1 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $5.2 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 32.0 | 18.3 | 38.3 | 26.2 | 33.3 | 31.8 | 35.0 |

Type of Volleyball (court) Played
Among LA County Youth


Where Volleyball (court) is Played
Among LA County Youth


| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $10.6 \%$ | $6.2 \%$ | $7.8 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| = Projected Players* | 42,256 | 19,265 | 38,206 | 21,292 | 32,278 | 155,685 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: VOLLEYBALL (COURT)



## Ethnicity



| Profile | Index |
| :---: | :---: |
| Male | 59 |
| Female | 148 |
| Ages 6~8 | 60 |
| Ages 9~11 | 110 |
| Ages 12~14 | 141 |
| Ages 15~17 | 100 |
| White | 141 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 72 |
| Black/African-American | 100 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 87 |
| <\$50K | 54 |
| \$50k~99k | 103 |
| \$100k+ | 144 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Female
- Ages 12 to 14
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

VOLLEYBALL (SAND/BEACH)

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim 8}$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15 \sim 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $4.5 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $1.3 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 19.7 | 6.9 | 32.1 | 9.6 | 13.8 | 31.7 | 21.7 |




| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $3.2 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ | $4.5 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 12,706 | 10,900 | 8,357 | 11,747 | 23,598 | 67,958 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: VOLLEYBALL (SAND/BEACH)



Ethnicity


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 92 |
| Female | 109 |
| Ages $6^{\sim} 8$ | 83 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 86 |
| Ages $12^{\sim}$ 14 | 119 |
| Ages $15^{\sim} 17$ | 117 |
| White | 160 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 69 |
| Black/African-American | 76 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 68 |
| <\$50K | 33 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 130 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 137 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Female
- Ages 12 to 14
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

SWIMMING

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim} 8$ | Ages <br> $9^{\sim} 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim} 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $27.1 \%$ | $27.4 \%$ | $25.9 \%$ | $30.4 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $25.4 \%$ | $17.1 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%)* | $12.9 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $11.3 \%$ | $14.1 \%$ | $12.4 \%$ | $13.7 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 43.7 | 35.2 | 52.2 | 44.5 | 35.8 | 44.2 | 56.9 |

Type of Swimming Among LA County Youth

*These national numbers represent "swimming on a team" and "swimming for fitness" as categorized on Physical Activity Council participation data. For this study, we just asked "swimming", so this also include recreational swimmers. Therefore, direct comparisons are slightly misleading.

| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $23.8 \%$ | $27.0 \%$ | $24.8 \%$ | $34.2 \%$ | $34.3 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 94,854 | 83,397 | 122,178 | 59,910 | 48,552 | 411,453 |
| *Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^2]
## Sport Profile: SWIMMING



## Ethnicity



| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 93 |
| Female | 108 |
| Ages $6^{\sim} 8$ | 124 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 115 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 90 |
| Ages $15^{\sim} 17$ | 64 |
| White | 121 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 83 |
| Black/African-American | 106 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 100 |
| <\$50K | 71 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 102 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 128 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Female
- Ages 6 to 8
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

WATER POLO

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $\mathbf{6 \sim 8}$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1 1}^{\sim}$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{1 2 \sim 1 4}$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $2.9 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 15.8 | 18.6 | 10.1 | 7.3 | 11.6 | 10.2 | 26.8 |

Type of Water Polo Played Among LA
County Youth


Where Water Polo is Played Among LA
County Youth

*Water Polo is not included in the Physical Activity Council's national study.

| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $1.4 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 5,614 | 7,098 | 10,745 | 9,691 | 10,578 | 44,481 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: WATER POLO



## Ethnicity

Asian/Pacific Islander, 0\%


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 124 |
| Female | 72 |
| Ages $6^{\sim}$ 8 | 78 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 94 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 81 |
| Ages 15~17 | 150 |
| White | 157 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 81 |
| Black/African-American | 72 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0 |
| <\$50K | 61 |
| $\$ 50 k^{\sim} 99 k$ | 85 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 158 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 15 to 17
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

WRESTLING

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1}^{\sim} 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim 17}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $3.2 \%$ | $4.8 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $1.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 35.7 | 45.1 | 10.7 | 4.6 | 49.6 | 13.3 | 46.5 |



Where Wrestling is Played Among LA


| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $2.9 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $4.7 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $3.2 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| = Projected Players* | 11,524 | 9,886 | 10,347 | 8,223 | 8,137 | 48,188 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: WRESTLING



Ethnicity


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 135 |
| Female | 59 |
| Ages $6^{\sim}$ 8 | 48 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 85 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 76 |
| Ages $15^{\sim} 17$ | 201 |
| White | 129 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 105 |
| Black/African-American | 53 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0 |
| <\$50K | 31 |
| $\$ 50 k^{\sim} 99 k$ | 97 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 175 |
|  |  |



Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 15 to 17
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

SURFING

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1 1}$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15 \sim 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $3.9 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.3 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $1.4 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 18.2 | 17.5 | 19.4 | 10.1 | 11.3 | 33.5 | 15.9 |

**Type \& Location of Participation was not asked due to nature of sport

| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $4.9 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 19,503 | 8,872 | 13,133 | 8,957 | 7,188 | 59,309 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## PROFILE



| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 114 |
| Female | 83 |
| Ages 6~8 | 63 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 98 |
| Ages 12~14 | 119 |
| Ages 15~17 | 128 |
| White | 206 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 45 |
| Black/African-American | 27 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 35 |
| <\$50K | 10 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 k$ | 112 |
| \$100k+ | 180 |

Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 15 to 17
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group


## SKATEBOARDING

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $\mathbf{9 \sim 1 1}$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15 \sim 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $8.8 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $6.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 90.2 | 95.2 | 72.5 | 74.2 | 88.6 | 93.9 | 102.1 |

**Type \& Location of Participation was not asked due to nature of sport

| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $7.2 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $8.8 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 28,663 | 30,418 | 44,573 | 15,124 | 14,918 | 133,444 |
| *Rounding may cause difference in |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## PROFILE



| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 145 |
| Female | 48 |
| Ages $6^{\sim} 8$ | 87 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 93 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 93 |
| Ages 15~17 | 129 |
| White | 86 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 107 |
| Black/African-American | 120 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 48 |
| <\$50K | 79 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 90 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 133 |

Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 15 to 17
- Black/African-American
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group


## SNOWBOARDING

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15 \sim 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $3.3 \%$ | $3.8 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | $6.6 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $4.1 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.8 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 15.7 | 20.2 | 9.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 22.9 | 13.0 |

**Type \& Location of Participation was not asked due to nature of sport

| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $3.4 \%$ | $4.3 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $5.1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 13,593 | 13,181 | 8,357 | 8,957 | 4,340 | 49,424 |
| *Rounc |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## PROFILE



| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 106 |
| Female | 93 |
| Ages 6~8 | 49 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 63 |
| Ages 12~14 | 191 |
| Ages 15~17 | 116 |
| White | 150 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 62 |
| Black/African-American | 34 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 128 |
| <\$50K | 4 |
| \$50k ${ }^{\sim} 99 k$ | 86 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 216 |

Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 12 to 14
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group


## TENNIS

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim 8}$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15 \sim 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $10.6 \%$ | $8.9 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $11.0 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $8.2 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $5.2 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ | $8.5 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 34.4 | 32.8 | 35.4 | 31.2 | 22.0 | 47.9 | 40.4 |

Type of Tennis Played Among LA
County Youth



| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $6.6 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $31.3 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 26,299 | 29,404 | 35,022 | 24,816 | 44,213 | 160,627 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: TENNIS



Ethnicity


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 79 |
| Female | 125 |
| Ages $6^{\sim} 8$ | 109 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 99 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 112 |
| Ages 15~17 | 79 |
| White | 134 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 84 |
| Black/African-American | 41 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 147 |
| <\$50K | 35 |
| $\$ 50 k^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 94 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 175 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Female
- Ages 12 to 14
- Asian/Pacific Islander
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

TRACK \& FIELD

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6 \sim 8$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12^{\sim 14}$ | Ages <br> $15 \sim 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $9.5 \%$ | $10.6 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ | $4.2 \%$ | $9.1 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $11.7 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $4.5 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $3.9 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 35.2 | 31.0 | 40.2 | 16.7 | 30.2 | 44.5 | 40.0 |

Type of Track \& Field Played Among LA

County Youth


Where Track \& Field is Played Among
LA County Youth


| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $8.6 \%$ | $13.0 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $6.7 \%$ | $10.9 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 34,277 | 40,051 | 42,583 | 11,747 | 15,461 | 144,565 |

[^3]
## Sport Profile: TRACK \& FIELD



Ethnicity



Income


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 103 |
| Female | 97 |
| Ages $6^{\sim} 8$ | 53 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 98 |
| Ages $12^{\sim} 14$ | 142 |
| Ages $15^{\sim} 17$ | 120 |
| White | 88 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 97 |
| Black/African-American | 123 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 117 |
| <\$50K | 93 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 93 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 116 |

Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 12 to 14
- Black/African-American
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

RUNNING

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim 8}$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim} 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $16.5 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ | $15.4 \%$ | $18.3 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $20.2 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $14.7 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $27.7 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 53.9 | 44.2 | 64.4 | 39.0 | 40.2 | 72.5 | 68.9 |

Type of Running Played Among LA
County Youth


Where Running is Played Among LA County Youth


| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $11.7 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ | $17.7 \%$ | $18.2 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| Projected Players* | 46,688 | 65,146 | 81,187 | 30,983 | 25,768 | 249,590 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: RUNNING



## Ethnicity



| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 95 |
| Female | 106 |
| Ages $6^{\sim}$ 8 | 94 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 106 |
| Ages 12~14 | 92 |
| Ages 15~17 | 108 |
| White | 112 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 83 |
| Black/African-American | 102 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 152 |
| <\$50K | 112 |
| $\$ 50 \mathbf{k}^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 82 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 108 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Female
- Ages 15 to 17
- Asian/Pacific Islander
- Parent income below \$50k

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

CYCLING

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages 6~8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & 9 \sim 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & 12^{\sim} 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ages } \\ & \text { 15~17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | 8.2\% | 10.2\% | 6.0\% | 6.8\% | 9.6\% | 10.1\% | 6.4\% |
| National Participation Rate (\%)* | 25.5\% | 29.0\% | 21.7\% | 25.0\% | 30.3\% | 26.1\% | 18.8\% |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 58.6 | 51.6 | 69.1 | 77.0 | 64.6 | 50.7 | 31.2 |
| Type of Cycling Played Among LA County Youth |  |  | Where <br> vate non-profit <br> ivate/Eomn facility, ege/Univers Sch | Cyclin <br> Other, 18\% <br> fit, $12 \%$ <br> nercial <br> ity, 4\% <br> ool, 5\% | is Play nty You | d Amo th <br> Public facility (park, ool, field, court), 58\% |  |

*National participation measures road, mountain, and BMX biking.

| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $5.7 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $16.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| = Projected Players* | 22,753 | 14,195 | 36,614 | 26,872 | 23,056 | 124,795 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: CYCLING



## Ethnicity



| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 113 |
| Female | 85 |
| Ages $6^{\sim}$ 8 | 94 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 115 |
| Ages $12^{\sim}$ 14 | 119 |
| Ages 15~17 | 74 |
| White | 178 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 51 |
| Black/African-American | 70 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 98 |
| <\$50K | 63 |
| $\$ 50 k^{\sim} 99 \mathrm{k}$ | 105 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 133 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 12 to 14
- White
- More affluent

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group

RUGBY

|  | Total | Male | Female | Ages <br> $6^{\sim} 8$ | Ages <br> $9 \sim 11$ | Ages <br> $12 \sim 14$ | Ages <br> $15^{\sim} 17$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LA Participation Rate (\%) | $0.9 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| National Participation Rate (\%) | $0.6 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.5 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Average Play Frequency (per year) | 9.0 | 6.4 | 12.5 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 15.3 |

## Type of Rugby Played Among LA County

Youth



| Projected Number of Players (by <br> Region) | North | South | East | West | Metro | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participation Rate (\%) | $0.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ | $3.7 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| x Age 6-17 Population | 398,601 | 308,547 | 492,268 | 175,242 | 141,409 | $1,516,067$ |
| = Projected Players* | 0 | 2,535 | 2,786 | 3,377 | 5,289 | 13,592 |

*Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

## Sport Profile: RUGBY



## Ethnicity

Asian/Pacific Islander, 0\% Other, 5\%


| Profile | Index |
| :--- | :---: |
| Male | 109 |
| Female | 90 |
| Ages $6^{\sim} 8$ | 60 |
| Ages $9^{\sim} 11$ | 17 |
| Ages 12~14 | 166 |
| Ages 15~17 | 176 |
| White | 199 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 57 |
| Black/African-American | 28 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 0 |
| <\$50K | 13 |
| \$50k~99k | 167 |
| $\$ 100 \mathrm{k}+$ | 116 |



Income


Players of this sport are relatively more likely to be:

- Male
- Ages 15 to 17
- White
- Parent with income between $\$ 50 \mathrm{k}$ and \$99k

How to read this table:

- An index of 110 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ MORE likely to fall into that group
- An index of 90 means players of this sport are $10 \%$ LESS likely to fall into that group


## Media




## P.E./Physical Activity Classes










Special Needs Children






Household Adults: Physical Fitness Status


- Households with adults either occasionally participating or not participating at all in physical activities were more likely to have children that did not play a sport in the past year.


## Youth Profile



Average Age: 11 years' old

## Race/Ethnicity




## Household Income





Respondent (Adult) Profile


Parent (Respondent) Age


## Race/Ethnicity



Education Level of Parent


Income
0\% 5\% 10\% 15\% 20\% 25\%



Average Income Per Region:

- North: \$77,887.76
- South: \$81,564.17
- East: \$72,144.07
- West: \$102,789.26
- Metro: \$111,620.37


## Using Sports Marketing Surveys Data:

## Disclaimer:

While proper due care and diligence has been taken in the preparation of this document, Sports Marketing Surveys cannot guarantee the accuracy of the information contained and does not accept any liability for any loss or damage caused as a result of using information or recommendations contained within this document.

## About Sports Marketing Surveys:

Since 1985, Sports Marketing Surveys had led the way in being the informed, experienced and uniquely positioned source to assist with any custom research projects. We understand the pressures and constraints being put on your budgets and we can design a methodology that gets you the answers you need, at a cost that your budgets can live with. Whether it's a dealer study to get some feedback from your retail partners or an internet based consumer study to measure the strength of your brand among the changing American consumer, Sports Marketing Surveys conducts quantitative and qualitative marketing research and information for many of the leading manufacturers and organizations throughout the industry.

SPORTS MARKETING SURVEYS USA.<br>6650 West Indiantown Road, Suite 220<br>Jupiter, FL 33458<br>p: 561.427.0647<br>f: 561.427.0678<br>e: usa@sportsmarketingsurveysusa.com<br>www.sportsmarketingsurveysusa.com

## About LA84 Foundation:

As a legacy of the 1984 Olympic Games, the LA84 Foundation continues to be a nationally recognized leader in support of youth sport programs and elevating the importance of sports in positive youth development. LA84 seeks to provide an opportunity for every child to participate in sports and experience the wide range of positive outcomes associated with youth sport participation. Since its launch in 1985, LA84 has invested millions in the communities that supported the Games, reaching more than 3 million youth throughout Southern California, from Santa Barbara to San Diego County. The LA84 Foundation continues to promote the spirit of the 1984 Olympic Games by convening and engaging local, national and international audiences about the role of sport in society, and the impact of the Olympic Games on host cities, especially as we look ahead to the 2024 Games. LA84's headquarters is located in the historic Britt House near downtown Los Angeles, where it houses meeting facilities and the world's premier sports library. For more information, please visit www.la84.org.


[^0]:    *Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

[^1]:    *Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

[^2]:    *Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

[^3]:    *Rounding may cause differences in projected players when comparing aggregated region totals to total participation rate and 6-17 population

